Math 22 (9:30am – 10:20am) Quiz 4 Version T Fri Oct 21, 2011

SCORE: / 24 POINTS

Prove the following statement.

SCORE: ____ / 8 POINTS

The sum of the squares of any two consecutive integers has the form 4k + 1.

Do NOT use the property that consecutive integers have opposite parity, nor the table of properties regarding the arithmetic of even and odd numbers, unless you prove them here.

Let x be an integer. $x^{2} + (x+1)^{2} = 2x^{2} + 2x + 1 = 2x(x+1) + 1$ By parity property, x is either even or odd. Case 1: If x is even, then by definition of even, x = 2m for some $m \in Z$. 2x(x+1)+1 = 2(2m)(2m+1)+1 = 4m(2m+1)+1where $m(2m+1) \in Z$ by closure of Z under multiplication and addition. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$. Case 2: If x is odd, then by definition of odd, x = 2m+1 for some $m \in Z$. 2x(x+1)+1 = 2(2m+1)(2m+2)+1 = 4(2m+1)(m+1)+1where $(2m+1)(m+1) \in Z$ by closure of Z under multiplication and addition. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$.

Based on a question from your homework: If you wanted to show that 173 is prime, what is the largest number SCORE: / 3 POINTS you need to try to divide 173 by ? Show very briefly how you got your answer.

Assume that there is a least positive rational number, which we call x. By definition of rational, $x = \frac{a}{b}$ for some $a, b \in Z$ where $b \neq 0$. Since x > 0, therefore $\frac{1}{2}x > 0$ [by multiplying both sides of the inequality by $\frac{1}{2}$]. Since $\frac{1}{2} < 1$ and x > 0, therefore $\frac{1}{2}x < x$ [by multiplying both sides of the first inequality by x]. In addition, $\frac{1}{2}x = \frac{a}{2b}$ where $b \in Z$ by the closure of Z under multiplication, and $2b \neq 0$ by the zero product property. So, by definition of rational, $\frac{1}{2}x$ is a rational number, which is also positive and less than x. But this contradicts the assumption that x was the least positive rational number. So, the assumption is wrong, and there is no least positive rational number.

Consider the true statement "If x is odd, then x^2 is odd". Find the **FIRST** error in the following incorrect "proof" by contraposition, and explain in **12 WORDS OR FEWER** what the error is.

"Proof": Suppose that x is not odd. By the parity property, x is even. By the definition of even, x = 2k for some integer k. So, $x^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$. $2k^2$ is an integer by the closure of integers under multiplication. So, by the definition of even, x^2 is even. By the parity property, x^2 is not odd. So, the statement is true.

The first sentence is not the negation of the conclusion.

Exactly one of the following statements is <u>false</u>. Find the statement, and find a counterexample. SCORE: / 3 POINTS You do not have to prove why your counterexample is correct, but it must be correct.

- [I] The quotient of an irrational number divided by a non-zero rational number must be irrational.
- [II] The reciprocal of an irrational number must be irrational.
- [III] The sum of two positive irrational numbers must be irrational.

[III] $10 - \sqrt{2}$ and $10 + \sqrt{2}$ are both positive and irrational, but $10 - \sqrt{2} + 10 + \sqrt{2} = 20$ is not

Math 22 (9:30am – 10:20am) Quiz 4 Version R Fri Oct 21, 2011

SCORE: / 24 POINTS

Prove the following statement.

SCORE: ____ / 8 POINTS

The sum of the squares of any two consecutive integers has the form 4k + 1.

Do NOT use the property that consecutive integers have opposite parity, nor the table of properties regarding the arithmetic of even and odd numbers, unless you prove them here.

Let x be an integer. $x^{2} + (x+1)^{2} = 2x^{2} + 2x + 1 = 2x(x+1) + 1$ By parity property, x is either even or odd. Case 1: If x is even, then by definition of even, x = 2m for some $m \in Z$. 2x(x+1) + 1 = 2(2m)(2m+1) + 1 = 4m(2m+1) + 1where $m(2m+1) \in Z$ by closure of Z under multiplication and addition. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$. Case 2: If x is odd, then by definition of odd, x = 2m + 1 for some $m \in Z$. 2x(x+1) + 1 = 2(2m+1)(2m+2) + 1 = 4(2m+1)(m+1) + 1where $(2m+1)(m+1) \in Z$ by closure of Z under multiplication and addition. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$.

Based on a question from your homework: If you wanted to show that 173 is prime, what is the largest number SCORE: / 3 POINTS you need to try to divide 173 by ? Show very briefly how you got your answer.

Assume that there is a least positive rational number, which we call x. By definition of rational, $x = \frac{a}{b}$ for some $a, b \in Z$ where $b \neq 0$. Since x > 0, therefore $\frac{1}{2}x > 0$ [by multiplying both sides of the inequality by $\frac{1}{2}$]. Since $\frac{1}{2} < 1$ and x > 0, therefore $\frac{1}{2}x < x$ [by multiplying both sides of the first inequality by x]. In addition, $\frac{1}{2}x = \frac{a}{2b}$ where $b \in Z$ by the closure of Z under multiplication, and $2b \neq 0$ by the zero product property. So, by definition of rational, $\frac{1}{2}x$ is a rational number, which is also positive and less than x. But this contradicts the assumption that x was the least positive rational number. So, the assumption is wrong, and there is no least positive rational number.

Consider the true statement "If x is odd, then x^2 is odd". SCORE: / 2 POINTS Find the **FIRST** error in the following incorrect "proof" by contraposition, and explain in **12 WORDS OR FEWER** what the error is.

"Proof": Suppose that x is not odd. By the parity property, x is even. By the definition of even, x = 2k for some integer k. So, $x^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$. $2k^2$ is an integer by the closure of integers under multiplication. So, by the definition of even, x^2 is even. By the parity property, x^2 is not odd. So, the statement is true.

The first sentence is not the negation of the conclusion.

Exactly one of the following statements is **false**. Find the statement, and find a counterexample. SCORE: / 3 POINTS You do not have to prove why your counterexample is correct, but it must be correct.

- [I] The quotient of an irrational number divided by a non-zero rational number must be irrational.
- [II] The reciprocal of an irrational number must be irrational.
- [III] The sum of two positive irrational numbers must be irrational.

 $10 - \sqrt{2}$ and $10 + \sqrt{2}$ are both positive and irrational, but $10 - \sqrt{2} + 10 + \sqrt{2} = 20$ is not [III]

Math 22 (9:30am – 10:20am) Quiz 4 Version U Fri Oct 21, 2011

SCORE: / 24 POINTS

Consider the true statement "If x is odd, then x^2 is odd". Find the **FIRST** error in the following incorrect "proof" by contraposition, and explain in **12 WORDS OR FEWER** what the error is.

"Proof": Suppose that x is not odd. By the parity property, x is even. By the definition of even, x = 2k for some integer k. So, $x^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$. $2k^2$ is an integer by the closure of integers under multiplication. So, by the definition of even, x^2 is even. By the parity property, x^2 is not odd. So, the statement is true.

The first sentence is not the negation of the conclusion.

Prove that there is no least positive rational number.

SCORE: / 8 POINTS

Assume that there is a least positive rational number, which we call x. By definition of rational, $x = \frac{a}{b}$ for some $a, b \in Z$ where $b \neq 0$. Since x > 0, therefore $\frac{1}{2}x > 0$ [by multiplying both sides of the inequality by $\frac{1}{2}$]. Since $\frac{1}{2} < 1$ and x > 0, therefore $\frac{1}{2}x < x$ [by multiplying both sides of the first inequality by x]. In addition, $\frac{1}{2}x = \frac{a}{2b}$ where $b \in Z$ by the closure of Z under multiplication, and $2b \neq 0$ by the zero product property. So, by definition of rational, $\frac{1}{2}x$ is a rational number, which is also positive and less than x. But this contradicts the assumption that x was the least positive rational number. So, the assumption is wrong, and there is no least positive rational number. Exactly one of the following statements is **false**. Find the statement, and find a counterexample. You do not have to prove why your counterexample is correct, but it must be correct.

- [I] The quotient of an irrational number divided by a non-zero rational number must be irrational.
- [II] The sum of two positive irrational numbers must be irrational.
- [III] The reciprocal of an irrational number must be irrational.

[II] $10 - \sqrt{2}$ and $10 + \sqrt{2}$ are both positive and irrational, but $10 - \sqrt{2} + 10 + \sqrt{2} = 20$ is not

Based on a question from your homework: If you wanted to show that 163 is prime, what is the largest number **SCORE:** / **3 POINTS** you need to try to divide 163 by ? Show **very briefly** how you got your answer.

11 is the largest prime less than $\sqrt{163}$

Prove the following statement.

SCORE: ____ / 8 POINTS

The sum of the squares of any two consecutive integers has the form 4k + 1.

Do NOT use the property that consecutive integers have opposite parity, nor the table of properties regarding the arithmetic of even and odd numbers, unless you prove them here.

Let x be an integer. $x^{2} + (x+1)^{2} = 2x^{2} + 2x + 1 = 2x(x+1) + 1$ By parity property, x is either even or odd. Case 1: If x is even, then by definition of even, x = 2m for some $m \in Z$. 2x(x+1)+1 = 2(2m)(2m+1)+1 = 4m(2m+1)+1where $m(2m+1) \in Z$ by closure of Z under multiplication and addition. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$. Case 2: If x is odd, then by definition of odd, x = 2m + 1 for some $m \in Z$. 2x(x+1)+1 = 2(2m+1)(2m+2)+1 = 4(2m+1)(m+1)+1where $(2m+1)(m+1) \in Z$ by closure of Z under multiplication and addition. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$. So, the sum of the squares of two consecutive integers, always has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$.

SCORE: ____ / 3 POINTS

Math 22 (9:30am – 10:20am) Quiz 4 Version E Fri Oct 21, 2011

SCORE: / 24 POINTS

Consider the true statement "If x is odd, then x^2 is odd". Find the **FIRST** error in the following incorrect "proof" by contraposition, and explain in **12 WORDS OR FEWER** what the error is.

"Proof": Suppose that x is not odd. By the parity property, x is even. By the definition of even, x = 2k for some integer k. So, $x^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$. $2k^2$ is an integer by the closure of integers under multiplication. So, by the definition of even, x^2 is even. By the parity property, x^2 is not odd. So, the statement is true.

The first sentence is not the negation of the conclusion.

Prove that there is no least positive rational number.

SCORE: / 8 POINTS

Assume that there is a least positive rational number, which we call x. By definition of rational, $x = \frac{a}{b}$ for some $a, b \in Z$ where $b \neq 0$. Since x > 0, therefore $\frac{1}{2}x > 0$ [by multiplying both sides of the inequality by $\frac{1}{2}$]. Since $\frac{1}{2} < 1$ and x > 0, therefore $\frac{1}{2}x < x$ [by multiplying both sides of the first inequality by x]. In addition, $\frac{1}{2}x = \frac{a}{2b}$ where $b \in Z$ by the closure of Z under multiplication, and $2b \neq 0$ by the zero product property. So, by definition of rational, $\frac{1}{2}x$ is a rational number, which is also positive and less than x. But this contradicts the assumption that x was the least positive rational number. So, the assumption is wrong, and there is no least positive rational number. Exactly one of the following statements is **false**. Find the statement, and find a counterexample. You do not have to prove why your counterexample is correct, but it must be correct.

- [I] The quotient of an irrational number divided by a non-zero rational number must be irrational.
- [II] The sum of two positive irrational numbers must be irrational.
- [III] The reciprocal of an irrational number must be irrational.

[II] $10 - \sqrt{2}$ and $10 + \sqrt{2}$ are both positive and irrational, but $10 - \sqrt{2} + 10 + \sqrt{2} = 20$ is not

Based on a question from your homework: If you wanted to show that 163 is prime, what is the largest number **SCORE:** / **3 POINTS** you need to try to divide 163 by ? Show **very briefly** how you got your answer.

11 is the largest prime less than $\sqrt{163}$

Prove the following statement.

SCORE: ____ / 8 POINTS

The sum of the squares of any two consecutive integers has the form 4k + 1.

Do NOT use the property that consecutive integers have opposite parity, nor the table of properties regarding the arithmetic of even and odd numbers, unless you prove them here.

Let x be an integer. $x^{2} + (x+1)^{2} = 2x^{2} + 2x + 1 = 2x(x+1) + 1$ By parity property, x is either even or odd. Case 1: If x is even, then by definition of even, x = 2m for some $m \in Z$. 2x(x+1)+1 = 2(2m)(2m+1)+1 = 4m(2m+1)+1where $m(2m+1) \in Z$ by closure of Z under multiplication and addition. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$. Case 2: If x is odd, then by definition of odd, x = 2m + 1 for some $m \in Z$. 2x(x+1)+1 = 2(2m+1)(2m+2)+1 = 4(2m+1)(m+1)+1where $(2m+1)(m+1) \in Z$ by closure of Z under multiplication and addition. So, the sum of the squares has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$. So, the sum of the squares of two consecutive integers, always has the form 4k + 1 for some $k \in Z$.

SCORE: ____ / 3 POINTS