

Mechanics Center of Mass Linear Momentum

Lana Sheridan

De Anza College

Nov 6, 2018

Last time

- went over quiz 4
- another energy example
- center of mass

Overview

- center of mass
- motion of center of mass
- linear momentum
- impulse

Expression for the position vector of the center of mass:

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathsf{CM}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i} m_i \mathbf{r}_i$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i = x_i \mathbf{i} + y_i \mathbf{j} + z_i \mathbf{k}$ is the displacement of particle *i* from the origin.

Ch 9, #2

Consider the three-particle system, with masses $m_1 = 3.0$ kg, $m_2 = 4.0$ kg, and $m_3 = 8.0$ kg. The scales on the axes are set by $x_s = 2.0$ m and $y_s = 2.0$ m.

What are the coordinates of the system's center of mass?





 $\mathbf{r} = (1.1\,\mathbf{i} + 1.3\,\mathbf{j}) \,\,\mathbf{m}$ or $\mathbf{r} = \langle rac{16}{15}, rac{4}{3}
angle \,\,\mathbf{m}$



$$\mathbf{r} = (1.1\,\mathbf{i} + 1.3\,\mathbf{j})\,\,\mathbf{m}$$
 or $\mathbf{r} = \langle \frac{16}{15}, \frac{4}{3}
angle \,\,\mathbf{m}$

If m_3 is gradually increased, does the center of mass of the system shift toward or away from that particle, or does it remain stationary?



 $\mathbf{r} = (1.1\,\mathbf{i} + 1.3\,\mathbf{j}) \,\,\mathbf{m}$ or $\mathbf{r} = \langle \frac{16}{15}, \frac{4}{3} \rangle \,\,\mathbf{m}$

If m_3 is gradually increased, does the center of mass of the system shift toward or away from that particle, or does it remain stationary?

shifts toward m_3

In solid objects, mass seems to be distributed continuously.¹

It is possible to calculate the center of mass in this case also, but that requires evaluating integrals.

Nevertheless, we can guess intuitively the rough position of the center of mass in many cases.

¹Of course, it isn't: matter is made up of atoms. However, at everyday scales we can safely ignore this to an excellent approximation.

In solid objects, mass seems to be distributed continuously.¹

It is possible to calculate the center of mass in this case also, but that requires evaluating integrals.

Nevertheless, we can guess intuitively the rough position of the center of mass in many cases.



¹Of course, it isn't: matter is made up of atoms. However, at everyday scales we can safely ignore this to an excellent approximation.

In solid objects, mass seems to be distributed continuously.¹

It is possible to calculate the center of mass in this case also, but that requires evaluating integrals.

Nevertheless, we can guess intuitively the rough position of the center of mass in many cases.



If an object is made up of several different regular shapes, you can find the center of mass by treating each part as point mass at the center of mass of that shape.



If an object is made up of several different regular shapes, you can find the center of mass by treating each part as point mass at the center of mass of that shape.



If an object is made up of several different regular shapes, you can find the center of mass by treating each part as point mass at the center of mass of that shape.



Where is the center of mass of this pencil?



- (A) Location A.
- (B) Location B.
- (C) Location C.
- (D) Location D.

¹Pencil picture from kingofwallpapers.com.

Where is the center of mass of this pencil?



- (A) Location A.
- (B) Location B.
- (C) Location C. ←
- (D) Location D.

¹Pencil picture from kingofwallpapers.com.

Where is the center of mass of this hammer?



- (A) Location A.
- (B) Location B.
- (C) Location C.
- (D) Location D.

¹Hammer picture from pngimg.com.

Where is the center of mass of this hammer?



¹Hammer picture from pngimg.com.

Where is the center of mass of this boomerang?



- (A) Location A.
- (B) Location B.
- (C) Location C.
- (D) Location D.

¹Boomerang picture from http://motivatedonline.com.

Where is the center of mass of this boomerang?



- (A) Location A.
- (B) Location B.
- (C) Location C. ←
- (D) Location D.

¹Boomerang picture from http://motivatedonline.com.

Center of Gravity

The center of gravity is the single point on an object where we can model the force of gravity as acting on the object.

Near the surface of the Earth, the Earth's gravitational field is uniform, so this is the **same point** as the center of mass.



The center of gravity is the point at which you can balance an object on a single point of support.

¹Figure from http://dev.physicslab.org/

Center of Mass vs Center of Gravity

For a solid, rigid object:

center of mass

the point for an object where we can model all the mass as being, in order to find the object's trajectory; a freely moving object rotates about this point

center of gravity

the single point for an object where we can model the force of gravity as acting on the object; the point at which you can balance the object.

Motion of the Center of Mass

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathsf{CM}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i} m_i \mathbf{r}_i$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i = x_i \mathbf{i} + y_i \mathbf{j} + z_i \mathbf{k}$ is the displacement of particle *i* from the origin.

Differentiating gives:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}_{CM}}{dt} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i} m_{i} \frac{d\mathbf{r}_{i}}{dt}$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{CM} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i} m_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}$$

And differentiating one more time:

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{CM}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i} m_i \mathbf{a}_i$$

Newton's 2nd Law, revisited

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{CM}} = rac{1}{M}\sum_i m_i \mathbf{a}_i$$

Newton's 2nd law for a particle *i* tells us $\sum_{j} F_{j,i} = m_i \mathbf{a}_i$, where $\sum_{i} F_{j,i}$ is the sum of all forces on particle *i*.

Newton's 2nd Law, revisited

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{CM}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i} m_i \mathbf{a}_i$$

Newton's 2nd law for a particle *i* tells us $\sum_{j} F_{j,i} = m_i \mathbf{a}_i$, where $\sum_{j} F_{j,i}$ is the sum of all forces on particle *i*. Then,

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{CM}} = rac{1}{M} \sum_{i,j} F_{j,i}$$

and $\sum_{i,j} F_{j,i}$ is the sum over all forces on all particles. It's the net force!

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{F}_{net} = M \mathbf{a}_{CM}$$

Newton's 2nd law holds for the acceleration of the center of mass. This is good because we've already been assuming it when we treated blocks as point masses.

Linear momentum

The linear momentum of an object is the product of the object's mass with its velocity.

 $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$

Linear momentum

The linear momentum of an object is the product of the object's mass with its velocity.

 $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$

It is a vector.

Linear momentum

The linear momentum of an object is the product of the object's mass with its velocity.

 $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$

It is a vector.

Units:

Linear momentum

The linear momentum of an object is the product of the object's mass with its velocity.

 $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$

It is a vector.

Units: kg m/s

Momentum

Momentum was so important for Newton's understanding of motion that he called it *the* "quantity of motion".

Newton's (more general!) version of his second law:

$${f F}_{
m net}={d{f p}\over dt}$$

Momentum is related to force.

Momentum

Momentum was so important for Newton's understanding of motion that he called it *the* "quantity of motion".

Newton's (more general!) version of his second law:

$${f F}_{\sf net}={d{f p}\over dt}$$

Momentum is related to force.

This is where our intuition about momentum comes from:

Is it harder to stop a bowling ball or a tennis ball if they are both approaching you at the same speed?

Is it harder to stop a bullet or a tossed marble?

Change in Momentum and Impulse

The bullet and the bowling ball are harder to stop because they have more momentum.

Can we be more precise about "hard to stop"?

Change in Momentum and Impulse

The bullet and the bowling ball are harder to stop because they have more momentum.

Can we be more precise about "hard to stop"?

Yes! "Hard to stop" can be measured as how much force must be applied for how much time to stop the motion.

To stop something, we must change its momentum: $\Delta {\bf p}$

If we apply a constant force **F** for an amount of time Δt :

$$\Delta \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{F} \, \Delta t$$

Change in Momentum and Impulse

For some reason, we also give the change in momentum a special name:

Impulse Impulse, J, is the change in momentum.

Impulse, $\mathbf{J} = \Delta \mathbf{p}$

and so

Impulse, $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{F} \Delta t$

(assuming the force **F** is constant)

If the force on an object is not constant, we can still write:

 $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{avg}} \Delta t$

where \mathbf{F}_{avg} is the average force on the object over the time interval $\Delta t.$

Impulse from Changing Force

Impulse, $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{F}_{avg} \Delta t$



¹Figure from Walker, "Physics".

Why is an elastic line used for bungee jumping, and not a steel cable?

Why is an elastic line used for bungee jumping, and not a steel cable?

Assume either one just brings you to rest. It must decrease your momentum by a large amount.

Why is an elastic line used for bungee jumping, and not a steel cable?

Assume either one just brings you to rest. It must decrease your momentum by a large amount.

Suppose you have mass 60 kg. At the end of your fall you could have a velocity 25 m s⁻¹ downward. What is your change of momentum as you are brought to rest?

Why is an elastic line used for bungee jumping, and not a steel cable?

Assume either one just brings you to rest. It must decrease your momentum by a large amount.

Suppose you have mass 60 kg. At the end of your fall you could have a velocity 25 m s⁻¹ downward. What is your change of momentum as you are brought to rest?

$$\Delta \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_f - \mathbf{p}_i = 1500 \text{ kg m s}^{-1} \text{ upward}$$

Why is an elastic line used for bungee jumping, and not a steel cable?

Assume either one just brings you to rest. It must decrease your momentum by a large amount.

Suppose you have mass 60 kg. At the end of your fall you could have a velocity 25 m s⁻¹ downward. What is your change of momentum as you are brought to rest?

$$\Delta \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_f - \mathbf{p}_i = 1500 \text{ kg m s}^{-1} \text{ upward}$$

A steel cable will bring you to rest in a fraction of a second. That is a force of well over 1500 N!

A bungee line will bring you to rest over a period of several seconds: force \sim 500 N: comparable to the force of gravity on you. A much more pleasant experience.

The idea that changing the momentum of an object over a longer period of time reduces the force on the object is very important in engineering.

In particular, it is a principle used in design to improve safety.

Summary

- momentum
- momentum and Newton's second law
- impulse

Homework

- Ch 9 Ques: 1; Probs: 1, 3, 5
- read about momentum and impulse in chapter 9